

3.1

3.2

January 2017 report.

Subje	ct:	Request from Crumlin Star re Strangford Avenue	
Date:		7 th March 2017	
Repor	rting Officer:	Nigel Grimshaw, Director City & Neighbourhood Services Department	
Contact Officer:		Rose Crozier, Assistant Director City & Neighbourhood Services Department Stephen Walker, Portfolio and Programme Manager	
Is this	report restricted?	Yes No X	
Is the	decision eligible for	Call-in? Yes X No	
1.0	Purpose of Report or Summary of main Issues		
1.1	The purpose of th	is report is to provide a breakdown of estimated costs to upgrade	
	Strangford Avenue	Playing Fields at the request of Crumlin Star and to meet Intermediated	
	League Ground Re	quirements and to seek preferential use of the facility for the club.	
2.0	Recommendations		
2.1	The committee is asked to note;		
	While it appears that technically the facility can be brought up to an existing		
	Intermediate	e Ground Standard, there remain a number of issues around funding,	
	planning (inc	cluding potential local opposition), displacement of existing users.	
	Given the c	urrent review of Intermediate League Ground Criteria which is likely to	
	result in rev	rised standards it is recommended that a decision on this be deferred	
	until such tir	me as the review is completed.	
3.0	Main report		

Members have asked for a breakdown of the estimated cost of £50,000 referred to in the

Members are asked to note that this an estimate and by its nature will be subject to

	variation, + or In putting an estimated cost to the work the following elements were considered:		
	considered.		
	 The provision of spectator fencing and outer ball stop / security fencing at an estimated cost of £28,000. 		
	 The provision of dug outs varies from £3,500 to £8,500, which excludes the cost of installation and can be undertaken internally. 		
	The cost of a bitmac path around the whole of the pitch to facilitate movement and access for people with disabilities, prams etc would be £37,000, to provide access along one side would be £12,000.		
	 To provide hedging to disguise the fencing would be £2,000. 		
3.3	The total cost for the fencing, lowest price dug outs; a bitmac path along one side of the pitch and hedging would be £45,500. It is normal to allow contingency, in this case 10% was allowed, this gives an estimate of £50,050.		
3.4	Members are asked to note that this estimate has been provided in the absence of any drawings. Members will also note that approval from the Intermediate Committee is required and that planning consent will also be required.		
3.5	In addition, Members asked that representatives from the IFA Intermediate Committee be invited to a future meeting. The invitation has been issued and a response is awaited.		
	Financial & Resource Implications		
3.6	There are no financial implications based on the recommendation.		
	Equality or Good Relations Implications		
3.7	There has been no Equality Assessment carried out.		
4.0	Appendices – Documents Attached		
	Appendix 1 – Previous Report to People and Communities		
	Appendix 2 – IFA Grounds Criteria		